IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE V.RAMKUMAR

THURSDAY, THE 12TH APRIL 2007 / 22ND CHAITHRA 1929

Bail Appl..No. 2230 of 2007()

CRIME NO. 132 OF 2007 OF KORATTY POLICE STATION

PETITIONERS :

---

1. SUBASH,S/O.KRISHNAN NAIR,THACHILETH HOUSE,ALUVA DESOM.

2. JOSE,S/O.VARGHESE,CHEMBAKASSERY HOUSE, NAZRATH ROSE,ALWAYE DESOM.

3. SHANOOP,S/O.ABDUL KAREEM, ILANJIKKAL PARAMBU HOUSE,VATTERIPURAM DESOM.

4. ANIL,S/O.VARGHESE,CHEMBAKASSERY HOUSE, NAZRATH ROSE,ALWAYE DESOM.

5. RAJEENDRANATH,S/O.GOPINATH,THACHERI HOUSE,PUTHENVELIKKARA.

6. SASANTHAKUMAR,S/O.ARAVINDAKSHAN, THEMELIPARAMBIL,CHENNAMANGALAM VILLAGE.

BY ADV. SRI.C.ANILKUMAR (KALLESSERIL)

RESPONDENTS:

STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE,KORATTY CR.132/07,OF KORATTY POLICE STATION,RPRESENTED BY THE PUBLIC PROSEUCUTOR,HIGH COURT OF KERALA,ERNAKULAM.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTOR SRI. K.S. SIVAKUMAR

THIS BAIL APPLICATION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 12/04/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

V. RAMKUMAR, J.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Bail application NO. 2230 of 2007

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

Dated, this the 12th day of April 2007

ORDER

Petitioners who are accused Nos. 1 to 6 in Crime No. 132 of 2007 of Koratty Police Station for an offence punishable under Section 399 I.P.C. seeks their enlargement on bail.

2. The learned Public Prosecutor opposed the application.

3. The case of the prosecution is that at about 3.30 a.m. on 25-3-2007 the police found suspicious an ambassador car containing 7 persons. On signaling the Car to stop, it stopped. A7 who was in the car made good his escape and the remaining persons in the car were apprehended. They confessed to the police officer that they were brought by the 7th accused . Accused Nos. 1 and 2 were having swords on their person and the 3rd accused was having an iron rod on his person. They were also having a plastic rope. On being satisfied that they were making preparations to commit decoity they were apprehended by the police from the spot itself. Petitioners are in custody ever since then.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case including the duration of the judicial custody of the petitioners and the present stage of the investigation, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.

V. RAMKUMAR,
(JUDGE)

ani.